1	RANDALL S. LUSKEY (SBN: 240915) rluskey@paulweiss.com	
2	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON	
3	& GARRISON LLP 535 Mission Street, 24th Floor	
	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (628) 432-5100	
4	Facsimile: (628) 232-3101	
5	ROBERT ATKINS (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> admitted)	
6	ratkins@paulweiss.com CAITLIN E. GRUSAUSKAS (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> admitted)	
7	cgrusauskas@paulweiss.com ANDREA M. KELLER (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> admitted)	
8	akeller@paulweiss.com	
9	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP	
	1285 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10019	
10	Telephone: (212) 373-3000 Facsimile: (212) 757-3990	
11	raesimile. (212) 737-3990	
12	Attorneys for Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;	
13	RASIER, LLC; and RASIER-CA, LLC	
14	[Additional Councel Listed on Signature Page]	
15	[Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page	ej
16		
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
19	IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB
20	PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT LITIGATION	DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES,
21		INC., RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA,
22	This Document Relates to:	LLC'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF CORRECTION (ECF NO.
23	ALL ACTIONS	2458)
24		Judge: Hon. Lisa J. Cisneros Courtroom: G – 15th Floor
25		
26		
27		
28		

9 10 11

13 14

12

16

15

1718

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

28

The Court ordered that Plaintiffs' motion to compel policy documents could not exceed seven pages. ECF 2409. Yet, on March 10, 2025, Plaintiffs filed a so-called Notice of Correction, which Plaintiffs used to improperly supplement their motion to compel with an additional two pages of argument, without seeking leave of Court to submit supplemental briefing. Defendants therefore move to strike Plaintiffs' Notice of Correction (ECF 2458). In the alternative, Defendants seek leave of Court to respond as follows.

For one of the documents Plaintiffs claimed was missing, Plaintiffs now admit that: (i) they have a slipsheet produced by Defendants stating that Defendants withheld the document for privilege, and (ii) that Plaintiffs received a privilege-log entry for that same document. Plaintiffs further admit that they failed to look for this slipsheet and privilege-log entry, which Plaintiffs acknowledge are all associated with the Exhibit F document that contains the hyperlink. Indeed, Plaintiffs did not follow the simple steps – which take only a couple of minutes – to look at the associated slipsheet and privilege-log entry *until after* Defendants told Plaintiffs of their mistake. Instead of simply correcting their mistake, Plaintiffs are deflecting and trying to cast blame for their own failure to look for the hyperlinked document using the very information that Defendants have produced that enables Plaintiffs to associate hyperlinked documents. Thus, Plaintiffs' Notice only illustrates the breadth of the information that Plaintiffs already have at their disposal if they are willing to take the basic steps to utilize it.

DATED: March 11, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

SHOOK HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

By: <u>/s/ Veronica Hayes Gromada</u> VERONICA HAYES GROMADA

Veronica Hayes Gromada (*Pro Hac Vice* admitted), vgromada@shb.com SHOOK, HARDY & BACON L.L.P.

¹ The March 7 meet-and-confer that Plaintiffs reference was convened by Defendants on an emergency basis to discuss a serious issue about Plaintiffs' counsel's actions with respect to hyperlinked documents that the parties are working to resolve without the Court's intervention. If the parties are unable to do so, Defendants will seek appropriate relief from the Court.

Document 2478

Filed 03/11/25 Page 3 of 3

Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB